Congressman, Candidates Comment on Court's Ruling

WASHINGTON, D.C. — The U.S. Supreme Court ruled Monday that for-profit companies can claim a religious exemption to the Affordable Care Act requiring they provide health coverage for contraceptives. NBC News has reported.

President Barack Obama has declared the law to be a victory for religious freedom and I am pleased that the Supreme Court of the United States has essentially affirmed the principle that employers should not be required to offer contraceptives to their workforce. This decision is a welcome victory for religious freedom and I am pleased that the Supreme Court of the United States has essentially affirmed the principle that employers should not be required to offer contraceptives to their workforce.
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